Video 285: The Singularity Timeline, Part 3 [The Metaverse and Virtual Reality, Part A]

by Wes Penre, December 18, 2021

The video to this transcript can be found on my video channel: <u>https://wespenrevideos.com</u>



What is the Metaverse?

By now, more and more people have probably heard that Facebook is changing their name to Meta, and the name is not random. But nothing is random, is it? They want to introduce a new kind of social media, which is completely based on virtual reality (I'm going to abbreviate it to VR from hereon). Instead of using Zoom and other visual devices on the Internet, you get avatars that look like you, and one thing you can do, either by yourself or together with others, create an environment where you meet and mingle with your buddies and family in a VR environment. Metaverse is only in its beta stage yet, you could say, but those who have tried the platform for any extensive time say that even if the avatars look tacky still, they feel like they are there, and it starts feeling like a natural environment, *despite* the tacky characters and cartoon-like surroundings.

This is the beginning of a new era in the process of ushering in the Singularity. For those who do not believe, bear with me throughout this series. This is not a conspiracy theory on my part—it is evidence. Still, Zuckerberg's Metaverse is just the light version—the beginning.

I am not the only researcher who has said that Hollywood, the music industry, the book industry, fine art industry, and even the media are giving us at least some of the truth, in plain sight, and slapping our faces with it. Still, most of us do not see it and think it's either just art, science fiction, or another movie. The Metaverse is not an exception.



The concept comes from a novel, and later a movie called, *Snow Crash*,¹ written by science fiction writer, Neal Stephenson² in 1992. That was the first time the term Metaverse was mentioned in public, and this is where the EL-ite got their term from—or should I say, Stephenson got the term from the EL-ite?


Nah, this is just a coincidence, you might say, but how come then that Stephenson now is on top of Magic Leap³, which is a company promoting the real Metaverse, working in tight connection with all the Silicon Valley companies with the goal to bring the Metaverse to life? It's all connected, folks. Magic Leap is focusing on bringing the Metaverse to the corporate world, and

¹ <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Snow_Crash</u>

² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neal Stephenson#Outside of writing

³ <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Magic Leap</u>

then to mass consumers. Stephenson is their Chief Futurist, working in the tech sector to bring "fiction" to reality.



Back to Mark Zuckerberg, one of the leading puppets in the Singularity/VR agenda at the moment. Here is an excerpt from an interview he did with Verge⁴, here quoted from The Federalist,

"In his Verge interview, Zuckerberg describes the Metaverse as an 'embodied internet' — 'the holy grail of social interactions' — where we can work, play, and enjoy a 'sense of presence' alongside teleporting holograms. He predicts that within the next five years around the same time Elon Musk hopes to achieve digital telepathy through brain chips — Facebook will 'transition from people seeing us as primarily being a social media company to being a metaverse company.""⁵


The article continues further down,

"Incidentally, both Microsoft and Facebook are among the many partners at the <u>XR</u> <u>Association</u> who've joined forces to manifest the Metaverse in our daily lives — from basic infrastructure to spirituality. Google, Sony, and HTC are also leaders in the effort. 'Immersive technology will play a vital role in America's drive to <u>Build Back Better</u>,' the

⁴ <u>https://www.theverge.com/22588022/mark-zuckerberg-facebook-ceo-metaverse-interview</u>

⁵ <u>https://thefederalist.com/2021/08/06/mark-zuckerberg-is-planting-the-first-church-of-the-metaverse/</u>

XRA website <u>promises</u>. 'Over the course of the next decade, the physical and digital worlds will merge at an unprecedented scale.'"⁶



Meta/Facebook as a Religious Center

The Federalist, in the same article, writes about how Zuckerberg and his seniors, who are not public figures, also want the Metaverse to be a place for religious worship and ceremonies, where people go instead of going to their churches—and this, I believe, will eventually become the One World Religion that has been discussed so many times, where all religions will merge into one, *The First Church of the Metaverse*⁷. Well, they could never accomplish that, some might say. I would say, really? The so-called authorities have already proven they can manipulate a whole world to stay inside in lockdown, wearing masks that will make them sick, and allowing to be pumped with vaccines that are detrimental for their future wellbeing and mental state. All this without asking any relevant questions. The people in control behind the scenes can back a population into a corner and eventually call religious people extremists and dangerous, unless they conform and start worshiping the One World Religion, of course. Something to this effect will happen sooner or later, unless things change drastically.

⁶ Ibid.

⁷ <u>https://www.thethinkingconservative.com/mark-zuckerberg-is-planting-the-first-church-of-</u> <u>the-metaverse/</u>

^{© 2021} Wes Penre Productions. Can be freely distrubuted for personal use if not in any way altered.



The 4th Industrial Revolution

For those how don't know who Klaus Schwab is, he is the Executive Chairman of the World Economic Forum⁸, and he is a main public player in ushering in the 4th Industrial Revolution and the Singularity, with humans merging with the machine, i.e., artificial intelligence. He is not even discrete about it. The following comes from his own mouth, and it is from a meeting he was at in Dubai,

"At the end, what the 4th Industrial Revolution will lead to is a fusion of our physical, our digital, and our biological identities."⁹


I find it interesting that they call it the 4th Industrial Revolution, which indicates that the previous ones were all part of the same long-term plan, which is to merge humans with the machine to gain full control over the human mind.

⁸ <u>https://www.weforum.org/about/klaus-schwab</u>

⁹ https://voluntarytube.com/videos/watch/6b4c8437-692b-4b01-b1ae-b537e32ff326



In school, and elsewhere, we learn about how nations are fighting nations in devastating wars that kill millions of people because of some nebulous conflict that any decent human could solve peacefully, unless we are psychopaths. Although, on one level, these conflicts do exist, on a higher level, they don't. Puppets are used, of course, but at the top, there are others pulling all the strings, playing all sides of the game.



Thus, we have Nazi Germany, where "scientists," such as Josef Mengele, brutally tortured victims to learn more about the human mind and how it reacts under certain circumstances. Then, after the war, the same psychopaths were hired by the U.S. Military Industrial Complex through Operation Paperclip¹⁰. All these wars and conflicts are orchestrated for a higher agenda and are

¹⁰ <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Operation Paperclip</u>

minor goals in a larger and very sinister plan, which is to steal our minds completely and literally, and they are doing it right before our eyes without most people noticing it. They are not shy about it, either, so, no one can say they didn't tell us. Those who think we don't have *any* freewill, please think again. When we're boxed into a corner, we tend to complain a lot about it. Still, they told us so, and we, as a human species, did nothing, or very little, to stop them. Truth is out there regarding the agenda, but even though more and more people start realizing something is wrong, they shrug and hope for the best, without making the effort to find out more.



Brain-Computer Interface

I watched a very sobering video the other day by Walter Pasquarelli¹¹, who is an advisor of tech companies and governments worldwide on tech, data and AI policy. He is telling us that they want to take away the barrier between the brain and the interface of the computer and develop a *brain-computer interface*, and of course, EL-on Musk's name again pops up when we discuss this because it is perfectly aligned with his intentions. Pasquarelli calls this *Data About Thoughts*. And now, listen to this: Data About Thoughts is all about the most intimate form of data, i.e., everything that happens inside our brain. As this develops, they will incrementally be able to translate this neural activity into data, including thought patterns, ideas, and images! But also,

¹¹ <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zBgDq56fTXo</u>

considerations of things that are yet to happen. It works in both directions—we can transmit thoughts into data, but data can also be transmitted *into* the brain, and when used in the way it is intended in the future, as we shall see, the latter is even scarier than the former, because it's when they can use the data and transmit it back against us and put thoughts in our heads that it becomes really scary.

Pasquarelli goes on by saying the *Brain-Computer Interface*, or BCI, can be used for improved learning, psychological treatments, and for companies to hold this data. He says the real value of the BCI is the data. *We will be able to read each other's minds!* Billions of dollars are invested in this as we speak.

So, Pasquarelli asks the question, what about privacy when Data About Thoughts puts privacy issues on steroids? Others will be able to use our neural interaction and interfere with it in ways we don't agree with, so-called *brain hacking*. Or as he puts it, *this will change what it means to be human*. And what is his, or "their" solution, rather, to eliminate the risk of tapping into our privacy and private thoughts? Well, I need to quote the solution directly from the video,

"The final question is of course, what can we do to ensure that people will benefit from brain-computer interfaces whilst mitigating its risks? The key with brain-computer interfaces will be to set the right governance framework for managing *Data About Thoughts* and ensuring that only a select number of actors will be able to get to this data for only a very strictly defined purpose. Compare this to about how you feel about your medical record—only a hundred times more personal and intimate. These discussions need to start now, as the question is not *if* BCIs will be able to read data about us, but when..."¹²

The URL to Pasquarelli's video, as well as all the references to what I say in my video, in general, will be in the transcript to this video, and I highly suggest you watch the one from Pasquarelli. It's a great example of how they give you a problem, having you ask for a solution, and he is giving it, but in terms that fit the agenda and drives it forward. The solution is not, as we can see, to stop this whole process—that is not part of it.

No one in his or her right mind should think that those who have access to the data will be ethical and moral people, who would never overstep their privileges. And who *are* these people who will have access? The very few who will then control us, obviously.

[to be continued...]

¹² <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zBgDq56fTXo</u>

PATREON

https://patreon.com/wespenre

Please consider signing up on Patreon. Without your support, it's literally impossible to continue with these videos and articles. Patreon supporters are what makes this possible. So, if you like what you see and hear, please consider going to <u>patreon.com/wespenre</u> and sign up.

Here is a list of patrons who have agreed to being mentioned on my screen list—Tier 2 to Tier 4. Thank you for your dedicated contribution (see video screen).